From: [redacted]
To: Ministerial Correspondence
Cc: "MILLER, Calum (MP)"
Subject: Objection to an urgent Crown Development Order for MOD Bicester Site A
Date: 19 July 2026 14:30:41

You don't often get email from [redacted] Learn why this is important

Dear Secretary of State,

I am writing as a local resident to oppose the use of the urgent planning process for the proposal to accommodate up to 1,250 single adult male asylum seekers at Site A, MOD Bicester..

Why are the views of local residents/ tax payers not going to given the normal time to object to these proposals?
I also want to know if these “Asylum Seekers” have been subject to any checks whatsoever. I live in a quiet village, and am very very worried about the “DUMPING” of 1250 single adult males, who will be within walking distance of my home. Are we going to have extra police patrols, do we now need to get extra protection for not only our homes, but our families – young girls and the elderly – and who is going to pay for this – not the Government that is for sure.. Would you like to have them on your own doorstep?

On 9 July, my MP, Calum Miller, was informed by a Defence Minister that the Home Office first approached the MOD about the use of this site in November 2025.

You will be aware that the use of the site for such a huge asylum camp is very controversial – and widely opposed – locally. It is very important that local people – and our local representatives in the councils, health board, police and other services – are given sufficient information and time to make an intelligent response.

It is clearly unreasonable for this to be considered “urgent”. The Government cannot consider it for nine months and then give local residents a matter of days to contribute to a consultation.

Can I therefore urge you to withdraw consent for this to be treated as an urgent application and instead insist that the usual planning process is followed, so local voices can be heard about a proposal that will affect us far more than any Secretary of State in London?

Yours sincerely,

[redacted]